

PSYCHOLOGICAL TRAUMA IN THE BELL JAR: LITERATURE AS A HEALING MEDIUM

Afni Rosalina¹, Ratna Sari Dewi², M. Manugeren³

¹Universitas Deztron Indonesia, Medan, Indonesia

²Universitas Muslim Nusantara Al-Washliyah, Medan, Indonesia

^{1,3}Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: afnirosalina@udi.ac.id

Abstract

This study examined the representation of psychological trauma in *The Bell Jar* and explored literature as a medium of healing through the perspective of trauma theory. The research focused on how traumatic experience was symbolically represented and how narrative functioned in articulating emotional suffering and identity fragmentation. Using a qualitative approach, the study applied textual analysis to interpret symbolic imagery, narrative structure, and the protagonist's psychological condition throughout the novel. The analysis concentrated on recurring symbols, internal conflict, emotional isolation, and fragmented identity as indicators of trauma representation. The findings revealed that trauma was portrayed through symbolic expressions reflecting despair, alienation, and psychological instability. In addition, the fragmented narrative structure mirrored the protagonist's disrupted mental state and loss of self-coherence. The study further demonstrated that narration functioned as a reflective process through which traumatic experiences were expressed, organized, and psychologically negotiated. These findings suggested that literature not only represented emotional suffering but also provided a therapeutic space for reflection, self-understanding, and potential healing. The study therefore highlights the significance of literary narratives in representing marginalized psychological experiences and contributing to discussions of trauma, identity, and emotional recovery.

Keywords: Psychological trauma, trauma theory, literature as healing, narrative fragmentation, symbolic representation

1. Introduction

Literature has long been recognized not only as a medium of artistic expression but also as a space for articulating complex human experiences, including psychological suffering and recovery. In recent years, scholarly attention has increasingly focused on the therapeutic potential of literature in representing trauma and facilitating healing, particularly within the context of global cultural exchange and unification. As globalization intensifies cultural interactions, literary texts function as sites for negotiating shared human experiences across cultures (Casanova, 2004; Damrosch, 2003; Helgesson & Vermeulen, 2016; Thomsen, 2018). This perspective highlights the relevance of literary studies in addressing psychological and emotional issues in contemporary global discourse.

As literary works increasingly circulate beyond national and cultural boundaries, they become important instruments for understanding universal human concerns such as identity, suffering, emotional conflict, and recovery. Literature allows readers to engage with experiences that may differ from their own while simultaneously recognizing shared emotional realities. Through narrative forms, readers are invited to witness the psychological experiences of fictional characters, creating empathy and emotional understanding that transcend geographical and cultural limitations (Flick, 2018). Therefore, literature does not merely function as entertainment or aesthetic representation; it also serves as an intellectual and emotional medium through which social and psychological realities are explored and interpreted (Damrosch, 2003; Keen, 2007; Nussbaum, 1995).

Psychological trauma refers to deeply distressing experiences that disrupt an individual's sense of identity, memory, and emotional stability (Caruth, 1996; Herman, 1992). Trauma theory emphasizes that traumatic experiences are often difficult to articulate and are characterized by fragmentation, repetition, and narrative disruption (Luckhurst, 2013; Whitehead, 2004). More recent developments in trauma studies have expanded this understanding by emphasizing the importance of narrative representation and cultural context in shaping trauma (Balaev, 2018; Bond & Craps, 2020; Craps, 2013). Furthermore, trauma is not only an individual experience but can also be understood as a cultural and collective phenomenon (Alexander et al., 2004; Eyerman, 2011).

Traumatic experiences frequently resist direct representation because they exceed normal cognitive and emotional processing mechanisms. Individuals experiencing trauma often encounter difficulties in organizing painful memories into coherent narratives. As a result, traumatic memories tend to emerge through fragmented recollections, recurring images, emotional disturbances, or symbolic expressions rather than through direct and structured storytelling. This characteristic makes trauma a significant subject of literary studies because literary narratives frequently employ fragmented structures, symbolism, and disrupted chronology that mirror the nature of traumatic experiences themselves. Consequently, literature offers a suitable framework for understanding how psychological suffering can be represented and interpreted (Caruth, 1996; Luckhurst, 2013; Whitehead, 2004).

Contemporary trauma studies have also shifted from viewing trauma solely as a medical or psychological condition toward understanding it as a socially and culturally mediated experience. Scholars increasingly argue that social environments, cultural values, and historical conditions influence how trauma is experienced and expressed. Different societies may interpret emotional suffering differently depending on cultural beliefs and social expectations. Therefore, the study of trauma within literary texts requires attention not only to psychological dimensions but also to the broader social and cultural contexts surrounding the narrative (Balaev, 2018; Bond & Craps, 2020; Craps, 2013).

Literary texts provide a unique medium for representing trauma through symbolic language and narrative structures. Testimonial and narrative approaches suggest that storytelling enables individuals to articulate and process traumatic experiences (Felman & Laub, 1992). In this context, literature functions not only as a reflective medium but also as a potential site for healing, where fragmented experiences can be reconstructed into meaningful narratives (Caruth, 2016).

Storytelling plays a crucial role in transforming fragmented experiences into understandable forms. Through narrative processes, traumatic experiences that initially appear chaotic and disorganized can gradually be reconstructed into meaningful sequences.

Such reconstruction allows individuals to regain a sense of control over experiences that previously seemed incomprehensible. Literary narratives often perform this reconstructive function by providing symbolic spaces where emotional pain can be expressed indirectly. Readers and writers may engage in reflective processes through literary texts, potentially creating emotional understanding and psychological insight (Caruth, 2016; Felman & Laub, 1992; Herman, 1992).

Several scholars have further emphasized the therapeutic dimension of literature through concepts such as bibliotherapy and narrative healing. Bibliotherapy suggests that reading literary texts may contribute positively to emotional well-being by allowing individuals to identify with characters and situations that resemble their own experiences. Through identification, readers may gain emotional comfort, validation, and alternative perspectives regarding personal struggles. Consequently, literature possesses the potential not only to represent psychological conditions but also to contribute to emotional recovery and healing processes (Brewster, 2009; McCulliss, 2012; Pehrsson & McMillen, 2005).

The novel *The Bell Jar* presents a significant literary exploration of psychological trauma through its portrayal of depression, alienation, and identity crisis. The symbolic image of the “bell jar” represents entrapment and psychological isolation, illustrating the internalization of trauma. Through its narrative structure and symbolic elements, the novel reflects the fragmented nature of traumatic experience.

The novel presents the emotional journey of Esther Greenwood, a young woman who struggles with psychological distress and social expectations. Esther experiences feelings of isolation, confusion, and emotional instability while confronting pressures associated with gender roles, societal expectations, and personal identity. Her psychological condition gradually develops into a state of emotional crisis that affects her perception of reality and self-understanding. The narrative portrays internal conflicts that reveal the complexity of psychological suffering and its impact on an individual's emotional and mental state (Axelrod, 1990).

The symbolic representation of the bell jar itself becomes a central metaphor for understanding psychological trauma within the novel. The image suggests confinement and suffocation, symbolizing the protagonist's inability to escape emotional distress. Rather than functioning merely as a narrative element, the symbol represents broader psychological experiences associated with depression and alienation. The use of symbolism and fragmented narration allows the novel to depict psychological experiences that may otherwise be difficult to express directly (Axelrod, 1990; Bloom, 2007).

This study aims to analyze the representation of psychological trauma in *The Bell Jar* within the framework of trauma theory, focusing on symbolism, narrative fragmentation, and the role of storytelling in the healing process. By doing so, this research contributes to the growing body of scholarship that positions literature as both a representational and therapeutic medium in understanding psychological trauma.

Additionally, this study seeks to deepen scholarly discussions concerning the relationship between literature and psychological well-being by examining how literary narratives function as spaces for expressing and negotiating emotional suffering. By analyzing the symbolic and narrative dimensions of *The Bell Jar*, this research also contributes to broader discussions within literary and trauma studies regarding the role of literature in fostering empathy, emotional reflection, and healing. Ultimately, the study emphasizes that literature remains an important medium for understanding not only artistic expression but

also the complexities of human psychological experience (Caruth, 2016; Keen, 2007; Nussbaum, 1995).

Unlike previous studies that primarily examine *The Bell Jar* through feminist, psychoanalytic, or existential perspectives, this study integrates trauma theory with the concept of narrative healing to investigate how symbolic representation and fragmented narration contribute not only to the depiction of psychological trauma but also to the possibility of emotional recovery. This focus distinguishes the present study from earlier research by emphasizing literature as both a representational and a therapeutic medium in understanding psychological suffering.

2. Literature Review

Trauma studies have developed significantly in recent decades, shifting from a predominantly psychoanalytic framework toward a more interdisciplinary approach that incorporates cultural, narrative, and literary perspectives. Early foundational works conceptualized trauma as an overwhelming experience that disrupts memory and identity, often resulting in fragmented narratives (Caruth, 1996; Herman, 1992). However, more recent scholarship has expanded this understanding by emphasizing the role of representation, context, and narrative reconstruction in shaping traumatic experience (Balaev, 2018; Bond & Craps, 2020).

Contemporary trauma theory highlights that trauma is not only an individual psychological condition but also a culturally mediated phenomenon. According to Craps (2013), trauma studies must move beyond Eurocentric frameworks to consider diverse cultural experiences and narrative forms. This perspective is further developed by Bond and Craps (2020), who argue that literary texts play a crucial role in representing trauma across different socio-cultural contexts, particularly in an increasingly globalized world. These studies suggest that literature provides a space where trauma can be articulated, interpreted, and shared.

In literary studies, trauma is often represented through symbolic language, narrative fragmentation, and disruptions in temporal structure. Luckhurst (2013) and Whitehead (2004) explain that trauma fiction frequently employs non-linear narratives and recurring motifs to reflect the fragmented nature of traumatic memory. More recent studies have reinforced this view by emphasizing how literary narratives function as a form of meaning-making that enables readers and characters to engage with traumatic experiences (Balaev, 2018; Visser, 2015). Thus, literature does not merely depict trauma but actively participates in reconstructing it.

Furthermore, recent research has increasingly focused on the therapeutic potential of literature. Studies in narrative and trauma suggest that storytelling can facilitate emotional processing and recovery by transforming fragmented experiences into coherent narratives (Bond & Craps, 2020). This aligns with the argument that literature can serve as a medium of healing by enabling individuals to externalize and reinterpret their psychological suffering. In this context, literary texts become not only sites of representation but also tools for psychological reflection and resilience. Accordingly, this study adopts the perspective that literary narration may function as a therapeutic mechanism through which traumatic experiences are expressed, organized, and reinterpreted, making *The Bell Jar* an appropriate text for examining the relationship between trauma representation and narrative healing.

The novel *The Bell Jar* has been widely studied within feminist, psychoanalytic, and existential frameworks. Previous research has primarily focused on themes of gender identity,

mental illness, and societal pressure (Axelrod, 1990). Recent trauma-oriented literary scholarship has likewise examined how literary texts represent psychological trauma and survival (Hidayat & Thoyibi, 2026). However, relatively few studies have examined the novel through the lens of trauma theory in relation to healing and narrative reconstruction. This indicates a gap in the existing literature, particularly in understanding how the novel functions as both a representation of trauma and a potential medium for healing.

Therefore, this study seeks to contribute to the field by integrating trauma theory with literary analysis to examine how psychological trauma is represented and how literature functions as a healing medium. By focusing on symbolic elements, narrative fragmentation, and the process of storytelling, this research offers a novel perspective that bridges literary studies and trauma theory. This approach not only enriches the interpretation of *The Bell Jar* but also contributes to broader discussions on the role of literature in addressing psychological and emotional challenges in contemporary society.

3. Research Method

This study employed a qualitative research design to analyze the representation of psychological trauma in the novel *The Bell Jar*. A qualitative approach was considered appropriate because the study focused on interpreting symbolic meanings, narrative structures, and psychological representations within a literary text (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). The research aimed to explore how trauma was constructed and how literature functioned as a medium of healing through textual interpretation.

Qualitative research is particularly suitable for literary studies because it allows researchers to examine meanings embedded within texts rather than focusing on numerical measurements or statistical generalizations. Since literary texts involve multiple layers of meaning and subjective interpretations, qualitative inquiry enables an in-depth exploration of language, symbols, and contextual relationships. In the context of trauma studies, qualitative analysis provides flexibility in examining psychological experiences represented through narrative structures and symbolic elements. Therefore, this approach facilitated a detailed investigation of the ways psychological trauma was articulated and interpreted in the selected literary work (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018; Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

The primary data of this study consisted of selected excerpts, narrative descriptions, and symbolic elements found in the novel. Data were collected through close reading, a method commonly used in literary analysis to identify patterns, themes, and symbolic representations (Bowen, 2009). The selection of data was based on relevance to the research focus, particularly instances that reflected psychological trauma, emotional distress, identity fragmentation, and symbolic imagery. The unit of analysis consisted of words, phrases, sentences, and narrative passages that contained symbolic representations of trauma, emotional conflict, identity fragmentation, and indications of recovery. These textual units were selected because they directly reflected the psychological experiences of the protagonist and supported the interpretation of trauma and healing within the framework of trauma theory.

The novel *The Bell Jar* was selected as the primary source because it presents a substantial representation of psychological distress and emotional conflict through its narrative structure and symbolic language. The novel portrays the psychological experiences of Esther Greenwood, whose emotional struggles provide relevant material for exploring trauma-related concepts. In addition, the text has been widely discussed within literary

criticism and psychological studies, making it a suitable literary source for examining trauma theory in relation to healing and narrative representation.

The data selection process involved identifying textual units that directly or indirectly reflected symptoms and representations of trauma. These textual units included narrative statements, dialogues, descriptions of emotional conditions, symbolic expressions, and character responses to specific experiences. The researcher paid particular attention to recurring themes associated with psychological suffering, including alienation, depression, confusion, emotional instability, and identity crises. These categories were considered relevant because trauma narratives frequently demonstrate recurring patterns of psychological disruption and fragmented self-perception (Whitehead, 2004).

The research procedure was conducted systematically in several stages. First, the researcher conducted an intensive reading of the text to gain a comprehensive understanding of the narrative. Second, relevant data were identified and categorized based on themes related to trauma representation. Third, the data were analyzed using trauma theory, focusing on symbolic representation, narrative fragmentation, and the articulation of traumatic experience (Balaev, 2018; Bond & Craps, 2020). Finally, the findings were interpreted to examine how the narrative contributed to the process of meaning-making and healing.

In the analytical stage, thematic analysis was used to organize and interpret textual findings. Thematic analysis assisted the researcher in identifying recurring patterns and conceptual relationships among textual data. After relevant passages were identified, they were grouped into broader thematic categories related to trauma representation. These categories included symbolic representation, emotional conflict, identity fragmentation, and narrative expressions of suffering and recovery. The process of categorization enabled systematic interpretation while maintaining the contextual meaning of the narrative (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

The analytical process involved several stages: reading the novel thoroughly, identifying passages related to trauma, classifying the data into thematic categories such as symbolism, emotional conflict, identity fragmentation, and recovery, analyzing the data using the framework of trauma theory, and interpreting the findings in relation to narrative function and the process of healing.

Furthermore, the researcher used a descriptive-interpretive technique in analyzing the selected data. The descriptive process involved presenting textual evidence from the novel, while the interpretive stage focused on explaining how these textual representations reflected concepts within trauma theory. Rather than merely describing narrative events, the analysis aimed to uncover deeper meanings related to psychological suffering and the potential role of storytelling in emotional recovery.

To ensure the validity of the analysis, this study applied interpretive consistency and theoretical triangulation. The findings were cross-checked with established concepts in trauma theory to maintain analytical rigor and credibility (Denzin, 2012; Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Additionally, the use of multiple theoretical perspectives within trauma studies strengthened the reliability of interpretation.

To further strengthen trustworthiness, this study also considered the criteria of credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability proposed in qualitative research. Credibility was established by maintaining consistency between theoretical frameworks and textual interpretations. Transferability was achieved by providing sufficient contextual descriptions of the analyzed data. Dependability was supported through systematic documentation of research procedures and analytical processes, while confirmability was

maintained by grounding interpretations within textual evidence and relevant theoretical concepts rather than relying solely on subjective assumptions (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

Overall, this method provided a systematic and theoretically grounded approach to examining psychological trauma in literature. It enabled a comprehensive understanding of how narrative and symbolism functioned in representing trauma and facilitating the process of healing.

4. Result and Discussion

4.1 Result

4.1.1 Representation of Psychological Trauma through Symbolism

The findings indicated that trauma in *The Bell Jar* was constructed through dense symbolic patterns that encoded the protagonist's psychological condition. The "bell jar" functioned as a central metaphor representing entrapment, suffocation, and emotional isolation. (Plath, 2005)

"Wherever I sat I would be sitting under the same glass bell jar, stewing in my own sour air." (Plath, 2005: 185).

This passage suggested that trauma was internalized rather than externally imposed. The "glass" implied invisibility—others could see the protagonist, yet they could not access her internal suffering. This indicated a disconnection between outward appearance and internal reality; a condition often associated with trauma-related dissociation. The phrase "stewing in my own sour air" further implied stagnation, where the subject was trapped in a repetitive cycle of distress without the ability to escape. This reflected the concept of traumatic enclosure, where individuals felt confined within their own psychological experiences.

"I saw my life branching out before me like the green fig tree" (Plath, 2005: 73).

At first glance, this metaphor suggested abundance and possibility. However, rather than empowering the protagonist, it produced anxiety and paralysis. Trauma here manifested not as memory alone but as anticipatory fear, where the inability to choose reflected a disrupted sense of agency.

"...the figs began to wrinkle and go black" (Plath, 2005: 73).

This continuation reinforced temporal distortion. The decaying figs symbolized missed opportunities, indicating that trauma altered the protagonist's perception of time—future possibilities collapsed into loss. This aligned with trauma theory, where individuals experienced temporal dislocation, unable to move forward or integrate past experiences.

Thus, symbolism in the novel did not merely decorate the narrative but functioned as a structural representation of trauma, encoding psychological suffering in indirect yet powerful imagery. Beyond symbolic representation, trauma in the novel also appears through the protagonist's fragmented emotional and psychological responses.

4.1.2 Internal Conflict and Psychological Fragmentation

The findings also revealed that trauma disrupted the coherence of identity, resulting in internal conflict and fragmentation.

"I felt very still and very empty" (Plath, 2005: 11).

This statement reflected emotional numbness, a key symptom of trauma. The absence of feeling suggested that the protagonist's psyche had withdrawn from overwhelming emotional stimuli as a defense mechanism. This condition aligned with the concept of affective blunting, where trauma reduced emotional responsiveness.

"The silence depressed me. It wasn't the silence of silence. It was my own silence" (Plath, 2005: 18).

This quotation demonstrated internalization of trauma. The repetition of "silence" emphasized that the suffering was self-contained, not imposed from the outside. This suggested a breakdown in communication, where language failed to express internal pain. Trauma, therefore, existed beyond conventional articulation, reinforcing its ineffable nature.

"I couldn't see the point of getting up" (Plath, 2005: 117).

This simple sentence revealed existential disconnection. Trauma not only affected emotional states but also disrupted motivation and meaning. The inability to act indicated a collapse of purpose, which is often associated with depressive conditions linked to trauma.

Together, these examples showed that trauma fragmented the self into disconnected dimensions of emotion, thought, and action, which no longer functioned cohesively. The protagonist existed in a divided psychological state, unable to reconcile internal experiences with external expectations. This psychological fragmentation is further reinforced by the instability of the narrative structure, which mirrors the disordered nature of traumatic memory.

4.1.3 Narrative Structure and the Expression of Trauma

The study found that trauma was embedded not only in symbols and themes but also in the narrative structure itself.

"I thought I must be going crazy" (Plath, 2005: 140).

This statement reflects the protagonist's awareness of her psychological instability. The protagonist recognized her mental deterioration, yet lacked the means to control or articulate it. This indicated a split between awareness and agency, a common feature in trauma narratives.

"I felt myself melting into the shadows like the negative of a person" (Plath, 2005: 173).

This metaphor suggested dissolution of identity. The comparison to a "negative" implied absence and inversion, indicating that the protagonist no longer perceived herself as a coherent subject. Trauma here blurs the boundaries of identity, replacing a stable sense of self with feelings of absence and disconnection.

"I began to feel like a racehorse in a world without racetracks" (Plath, 2005: 77).

This image conveyed dislocation and purposelessness. The protagonist possessed potential but lacked a meaningful context to express it. This reflected a mismatch between

self and environment, reinforcing the idea that trauma disrupted not only internal states but also social integration.

Importantly, the narrative moved between coherence and fragmentation, mirroring the instability of traumatic memory. This structural instability functioned as a formal representation of trauma, where the narrative itself embodied psychological disruption.

4.1.4 Literature as a Medium of Healing

Despite the pervasive trauma, the findings indicated that the narrative also enabled processes of healing and reconstruction.

“I am, I am, I am” (Plath, 2005: 243).

This repetition represented a reassertion of existence. Unlike earlier expressions of emptiness, this statement affirmed presence and identity. The rhythmic repetition suggested stabilization, where the fragmented self began to reorganize into coherence. This moment illustrates narrative recovery, in which articulation enables the partial reconstruction of identity.

“There must be quite a few things a hot bath won’t cure” (Plath, 2005: 20).

Although seemingly trivial, this statement reflected a shift toward self-awareness. The acknowledgment of coping mechanisms indicated a movement away from total despair toward practical engagement with recovery.

“I felt surprisingly at peace” (Plath, 2005: 236).

This moment suggested temporary resolution. While not a complete recovery, it indicated the possibility of emotional balance. Trauma, therefore, is not entirely eliminated but is transformed into an experience that can be more consciously understood and negotiated.

These findings demonstrated that storytelling functioned as a therapeutic process. By narrating trauma, the protagonist reorganized chaotic experiences into structured meaning. This supported the idea that literature facilitated meaning-making, which was essential for psychological healing. Overall, the findings demonstrate that trauma in *The Bell Jar* is represented not only through symbolic imagery but also through fragmented identity, disrupted temporality, and unstable narration. These elements work together to reflect the complexity of psychological suffering. At the same time, the narrative suggests that storytelling can function as a process of meaning-making through which traumatic experiences are articulated, reflected upon, and partially reconstructed. This supports the argument that literature serves both a representational and a therapeutic function within trauma studies.

4.2 Discussion

The overall findings demonstrated that trauma in the novel was multidimensional, operating through symbolism, internal conflict, and narrative structure. The “bell jar” symbolized psychological entrapment, while the “fig tree” represented anxiety and lost potential. Internal monologues revealed emotional numbness and fragmentation, and the narrative structure mirrored the instability of traumatic experience.

More importantly, the study showed that literature performed a dual function: it not only represented trauma but also enabled its transformation. Through narrative articulation, fragmented experiences were reorganized into meaningful structures, allowing for reflection and partial psychological recovery.

This finding suggests that literature should be understood not merely as a reflection of suffering but as an active medium that facilitates understanding, emotional reflection, and the processing of psychological trauma. It also reinforces the view that literary narratives can contribute to both the representation and the negotiation of traumatic experience.

These findings are consistent with trauma theory, which argues that traumatic experiences frequently resist direct representation and often emerge through fragmented forms, repetition, and symbolic expressions rather than through linear narratives (Caruth, 1996; Whitehead, 2004). In *The Bell Jar*, psychological trauma was not presented merely as an individual emotional condition but as a complex disruption affecting memory, identity, and perception. Esther Greenwood's experiences reflected the difficulties of integrating painful experiences into a coherent sense of self. The fragmented narrative and recurring symbolic patterns suggested that trauma reshaped the protagonist's understanding of reality and influenced her emotional responses toward both herself and the surrounding environment.

The findings also demonstrated that psychological trauma in the novel extended beyond internal suffering and became connected with broader social expectations and cultural pressures. Esther's emotional struggles emerged not only from personal experiences but also from conflicts between individual desires and social norms. Expectations related to gender roles, career achievement, and social identity created additional psychological burdens that intensified feelings of alienation and uncertainty. This supports contemporary trauma studies emphasizing that trauma should not be viewed solely as a private psychological phenomenon but also as an experience shaped by social and cultural contexts (Balaev, 2018; Craps, 2013).

Furthermore, the role of narrative in the novel reflected an important therapeutic function associated with storytelling and meaning-making. Previous studies suggest that narrative expression enables individuals to reconstruct fragmented memories into meaningful experiences, allowing greater emotional understanding and psychological integration (Felman & Laub, 1992; Herman, 1992). In this study, the gradual movement from emotional emptiness toward moments of self-awareness indicates that narrative itself functions as a medium of recovery. Although complete healing is not fully achieved within the novel, the articulation of emotional suffering creates opportunities for reflection and the partial reconstruction of identity. Literature therefore emerges not only as a representation of psychological pain but also as a space in which self-understanding and emotional healing may begin to develop.

This study contributes to trauma-oriented literary criticism by emphasizing that healing in literary narratives does not necessarily depend on the complete resolution of trauma. Instead, healing may emerge through the narrative reconstruction of fragmented experiences, the articulation of emotional suffering, and the creation of meaningful connections between memory, identity, and language. By integrating trauma theory with the concept of narrative healing, the study offers a perspective that positions *The Bell Jar* as both a representation of psychological trauma and a literary space for emotional negotiation and recovery.

5. Conclusion

This study concludes that psychological trauma in *The Bell Jar* is represented through the interaction of symbolism, internal conflict, and narrative fragmentation. The symbols of the “bell jar” and the “fig tree” convey the protagonist’s feelings of entrapment, anxiety, and loss of agency, while fragmented narration reflects the instability of traumatic experience and the disruption of identity and self-understanding. The findings further indicate that literature functions not only as a medium for representing psychological suffering but also as a space for meaning-making and partial recovery.

Through narration, traumatic experiences are articulated and reorganized into more coherent forms, allowing reflection, emotional understanding, and the reconstruction of identity. In this sense, literature performs a transformative role that extends beyond aesthetic representation. This study contributes to literary and trauma studies by emphasizing the dual function of literature as both a representational and a therapeutic medium. By integrating trauma theory with the concept of narrative healing, the research demonstrates how literary narratives can mediate between suffering and recovery. Future research may explore comparative studies of trauma and healing across different literary and cultural contexts. Interdisciplinary approaches that combine literary analysis with psychology, cultural studies, or education may also provide deeper insight into the therapeutic potential of literature and its application in educational and emotional development settings.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Head of the English Language Studies Program at Universitas Deztron Indonesia for academic guidance and institutional support throughout this research. The authors also thank colleagues and reviewers for their valuable feedback and constructive suggestions, which significantly contributed to the improvement of this manuscript. Appreciation is further extended to all participants and individuals who supported this study directly or indirectly

References

- Alexander, J. C., Eyerman, R., Giesen, B., Smelser, N. J., & Sztopka: (2004). *Cultural trauma and collective identity*. University of California Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520936768>
- Axelrod, S. G. (1990). *Sylvia Plath: The Wound and the Cure of Words*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Balaev, M. (2018). Trauma studies. In *A companion to literary theory*. Wiley Blackwell.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118958933.ch23>
- Bloom, H. (2007). *Sylvia Plath’s The Bell Jar*. Chelsea House Publishers.
- Bond, L., & Craps, S. (2020). Trauma. In *The Routledge companion to literature and trauma*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351032728>
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brewster, L. (2009). Books on prescription: Bibliotherapy in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Hospital Librarianship*, 9(4), 399–407. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15323260903253456>
- Caruth, C. (1996). *Unclaimed experience: Trauma, narrative, and history*. Johns Hopkins

- University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1353/book.20604>
- Caruth, C. (2016). *Listening to trauma: Conversations with leaders in the theory and treatment of catastrophic experience*. Johns Hopkins University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1353/book.42106>
- Casanova: (2004). *The world republic of letters*. Harvard University Press.
- Craps, S. (2013). *Postcolonial witnessing: Trauma out of bounds*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137277893>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781506386709>
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE.
- Damrosch, D. (2003). *What is world literature?* Princeton University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9780691188645>
- Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689812437186>
- Eyerman, R. (2011). *Cultural trauma: Slavery and the formation of African American identity*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511800313>
- Felman, S., & Laub, D. (1992). *Testimony: Crises of witnessing in literature, psychoanalysis, and history*. Routledge.
- Flick, U. (2018). *An introduction to qualitative research* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781529716630>
- Helgesson, S., & Vermeulen: (2016). World literature in the making. *Journal of World Literature*, 1(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1163/24056480-00101001>
- Herman, J. L. (1992). *Trauma and recovery*. Basic Books.
- Keen, S. (2007). *Empathy and the novel*. Oxford University Press.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. SAGE Publications.
- Luckhurst, R. (2013). *The trauma question*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203691465>
- McCulliss, D. (2012). Bibliotherapy: Historical and research perspectives. *Journal of Poetry Therapy*, 25(1), 23–38. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08893675.2012.654944>
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Nussbaum, M. C. (1995). *Poetic justice: The literary imagination and public life*. Beacon Press.
- Pehrsson, D.-E., & McMillen: (2005). A bibliotherapy evaluation tool: Grounding counselors in the therapeutic use of literature. *Journal of Creativity in Mental Health*, 1(3), 47–59. https://doi.org/10.1300/J456v01n03_05
- Plath, S. (2005). *The bell jar* (Modern Classics ed.). *Faber & Faber*.
- Hidayat, B. P. T., & Thoyibi, M. (2026). Racism, trauma, and psychological survival in Warsan Shire's **Home**. *Language Literacy: Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Language Teaching*, 10(1), 903–915. <https://doi.org/10.30743/ll.v10i1.13557>
- Thomsen, M. R. (2018). Mapping world literature: International canonization and transnational literatures. *Continuum*. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781472543578>
- Visser, I. (2015). Trauma theory and postcolonial literary studies. *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, 51(3), 270–282. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449855.2015.1037087>
- Whitehead, A. (2004). *Trauma fiction*. Edinburgh University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9780748628704>